## Draft Sample Complete Street Checklist for Connecticut Municipalities (Project Reviews)

The Connecticut Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Board

Published on \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2015

DRAFT #2 – August \_\_\_\_\_ 2015

## \_\_\_\_\_ Complete Streets Checklist

Objectives The Complete Streets Policy adopted on requires the provision safe access for all users by providing a comprehensive, integrated, connected multi-modal network of						
transportation option throughout						
	list is intended to assist the in achieving its objectives for complete streets.					
Drainet Name			Det	•		
Project Name:			Dat	e:		
Project Location / Limits:						
Project Location / Limits.						
Project Description:						
Troject Bescription:						
Instructions: For each box checked, please provide a brief	descri	otion f	or how	the item is addressed.		
not addressed, or not applicable and include supporting d	ocumei					
Street Classification (identify street or streets within the project area)						
Principal arterial Minor arterial Mixed use collector		l use lo	cale _			
Residential collector Residential locale Special use stre	et 🔲					
EXISTING CONDIT						
Item to Be Addressed/ Checklist Consideration	YES	NO	N/A	Required Description		
Existing Motor Vehicle Operations						
Are there existing concerns within the study area, regarding						
motor vehicle safety, traffic volumes/congestion or access?						
Existing Bicycle & Pedestrian Operations			1			
Are there accommodations for bicyclists, pedestrians (including ADA compliance) and transit users?						
Do bicycle and pedestrian accommodations exist? (see page for examples)						
Has the existing bicycle and pedestrian suitability or level of service been identified?						
Have the bicycle and pedestrian conditions within the study area,						
including pedestrian and/or bicyclist treatments, volumes,		Ш				
important connections and lighting been identified?  Do bicyclists/pedestrians regularly use the transportation facility						
for commuting or recreation?						
Are there physical or perceived impediments to bicyclist or						
pedestrian use of the transportation facility?						
Is there a higher than normal incidence of bicyclist/pedestrian						
crashes within the study area?						
Have the existing volumes of pedestrian and/or bicyclist crossing activity at intersections including midblock and nighttime crossing						
been collected/provided?						
·						
Existing Transit Operations	1		ı			
Do transit facilities exist within the study area, including bus and train stops/stations?						
Is the project area on a transit route? (CT Transit Service Routes)						
Is the transportation facility within two miles of "park and ride" or other transit node?						
Are there bicycle racks, shelters, or parking for transit riders						
available?	ш	Ш				

Existing Access and Mobility			ı			
Are there any existing access or mobility considerations,						
including ADA compliance?						
Do connective opportunities exist with schools, hospitals, senior						
care or community centers or persons with disabilities within						
project area?	ш	ш	ГШ			
Are there gaps inhibiting continuous access between schools,						
hospitals, senior care, or community centers or persons with	ш		Ш			
disabilities within project area?"						
Existing Truck/ Freight Operations			•			
Are there existing concerns within the study area, regarding						
truck/freight safety, volumes, or access?		Ш	ш			
Project Area Context			l			
Are there prominent landmarks, recreation, shopping, employment						
center, cultural centers or other key destinations that offer						
			ΙШ			
opportunities to connect this site?	-4					
Have you identified the predominant land uses and densities						
within the study area, including any historic districts or special			ш			
zoning districts?						
Is the transportation facility in a high-density land use area that						
has pedestrian/bicycle/motor vehicle and transit traffic?	ш					
Have you identified the major sites, destinations, and trip						
generators within or proximate to the study area, including						
prominent landmarks, employment centers, recreation,	$  \; \sqcup \;  $	ь Ш				
commercial, cultural and civic institutions, and public spaces?	. 4		ì			
Are there existing street trees, planters, buffer strips, or other						
environmental enhancements such as drainage swales within the						
study area?						
Existing Plans		<u> </u>				
		THE STATE OF THE S	l	T		
Are there any comprehensive planning documents that address						
bicyclist, pedestrian or transit user conditions within or proximate	MA.					
to the study area?						
Examples include (but are not limited to):	4					
Safe Routes to School Plans			_			
<ul> <li>Municipal, Regional, or State Transportation Plan</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Municipal, Regional and State Bicycle-Pedestrian Plans</li> </ul>						
Sidewalk Inventories						
<ul> <li>Mapped Greenways, Recreational Trails, Cross State Bike</li> </ul>						
Routes						
Transit Orientated Development Plans						
Please list and/or describe planning or policy documents addressing	bicyclis	t. pedest	rian. tra	ansit, or truck/ freight use for		
the project area. Examples can include:	<b>,</b>	-,	,	, <b>.</b>		
Plan of Conservation and Development;						
State, regional, or local Bicycle-Pedestrian Plan;						
Regional and State Plans of Conservation and Development;						
Regional and State Fights of Conservation and Development, CTDOT Mapping of Cross State Bike Routes (ADD LINK);						
	l traile:					
State, regional, or local mapping of greenways/ recreationa	ı ıralıs;					
CT Transit locations (add link);						
Transportation Committee Bicycle/ Pedestrian Priority Network;						
Mapped bike routes and transit stops, on Google Earth;						
Truck/ freight routes.						

PROPOSED DESIGN							
Item to Be Addressed/ Che		YES		)	N/A	Required Description	
Complete Streets Design			<u> </u>		14/74	Troquirou Bocoription	
Bicyclist accommodations?				T			
Pedestrian accommodations?			$\vdash$		Ħ		
Access and Mobility accommodation	ons?		$\vdash$		Ħ		
Transit accommodations?							
Truck/ freight accommodations?							
Streetscape Elements?							
•						1	
Bike Facilities:							
Off-roadway bike	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA	Do	destriar	Ear	ailitiaa:		
accommodations			destriai dewalks			es of Yes No NA	
Dedicated bike lane	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA		street o		oti i side	I les Livo Liva	
Shared-use lane	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA		iped, cro	10000	alke	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA	
Shoulder	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA		ometric				
Acceptable actuated traffic	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA		reduce c			103 [100 [107]	
signal bike detection, including			tances s				
turn lanes		4000	ensions	150050b.			
Do signals allow adequate	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA		ceptable				
minimum green time for			destrian				
bicyclist to safely cross			itures (e.				
intersection	Ves DNs DNA		destrian				
Signage and pavement	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA		ssing &				
markings specific to proposed bike facilities			fety islar			s on Yes No NA	
	Yes No NA	roa	adways v	vith t	two or n	nore	
Bicycle safe inlet grates Bicycle parking, eg. Bike racks,	Yes No NA	tra	ffic lanes	in e	each dir	ection	
bike lockers	I Tes LINO LINA		hanceds				
Transit Facilities:			destrian			at	
Transit shelters	Yes No NA	400000000000000000000000000000000000000	controlle	d ma	arked		
Bus turnouts	Yes No NA		ssings	-			
Standing pads	Yes No NA		nnectiv			No. No. No.	
Has CDTA been contacted?	Yes No NA		there p			Yes No NA	
Access and Mobility Facilities:	1 00		nnection				
Adequate sidewalk or paved	Yes No NA		ths, pede			ties, or	
path			nsit facili e there p			Yes No NA	
Acceptable	Yes No NA		nection				
consideration/provision for		~0.02*	stination		, ,		
accessible pedestrian traffic			there p			Yes No NA	
signal features			nection		,50a	103 1140 1147	
Curb ramps, including	☐ Yes ☐No ☐NA		ghborho		?		
detectable warning			eetscap			s:	
surface			streets				
Acceptable slope and	Yes No NA		posed s				
cross-slope for driveway ramps,			dscapin			es,	
sidewalks, crossings)		pla	nters, bu	ıffer	strips,		
Have conflicts been reduced	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA	Pe	destrian	·leve	el lightin	g Yes No NA	
between pedestrian, bicyclists,		Pu	blic seat	ina c	or henci	nes Yes No NA	
and motor vehicles (access		ı u	Diio 30at	ing c	JI DCITO	ics   I cs   Ivo   IvA	
management)?							
Design Standards and Guideline	!s						
Design meets guidelines such as o		Yes	No		NA	Describe	
bicycle/pedestrian/bus/transitfacilit				'			
•	Urbanism / Institute of Transport	ation Engi	neers) ma	nual	for "Con	text Sensitive Street Design":	
CNU/ITE Designing and Urban Walkable							
Transportation Officials (NACTO); AASH	ITO A Policy on Geometric Desig	n of Highw	ays and S	treet	ts, 6th Ec	lition, 2011; AASHTO Guide for the	
Development of Bicycle Facilities, 4th Ed Edition; Manual on Uniform Traffic Cont							
America" Jana Lynott et al AARD Dub							

America", Jana Lynott, et. al., AARP Public Policy Institute, (2009); Highway Capacity Manual (2010); Public Right-of-Way Accessibility Guide(PROWAG): Connecticut Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual: ADA Standards for Accessible Design (2010).